1. Concur with the findings and the recommendations of the accident investigation board.

2. Actions specified in recommendation 2a, 3a, and 4a were implemented.

RICHARD F. FOREMAN, MAJ. AR Commanding, B Co. 2d BN, 28th INF

Comment 2:

1. Concur with the findings and recommendations of the accident investigation board.

(see continuation sheet)

2. ARMY HEADQUARTERS REVIEWING AUTHORITY COMMENTS

1. Concur with findings and recommendations to the accident investigation board and comments of the reviewing official(s).

2. Actions recommended by the board pertaining to higher headquarters are considered adequate. This command has no further recommendations.

BRIAN A. DIRECTOR, GENERAL, COMMANDING, (HQ element as appropriate)

DIGITAL SIGNATURE 123456789

3. DEPARTMENT OF ARMY REVIEW (USACRC)

Findings and recommendations of the accident investigation board are considered correct and appropriate. DA level recommendations have been forwarded to the appropriate agency for action. Facts and circumstances pertaining to this accident will be published in the Jun 08 Knowledge Magazine. The report data is approved for inclusion into the USACRC database.

WILLIAM R. PRESERVER, GS-14, DIRECTOR, G-3, USACRC

DIGITAL SIGNATURE 123456789

4. DATE OF ACCIDENT (YYYY/MM/DD)

2007/1/15

DA FORM 285-O, FEB 2009

PREVIOUS EDITION IS OBSOLETE.

a. Also see paragraph 4–9.

b. See figure 4–6.

(1) Block 1. The initial reviewing official(s) will indicate the official’s organization as follows:
   (a) State concurrence or nonconcurrence with the findings and recommendations. Any nonconcurrence will be fully explained.
   (b) Report actions taken as well as recommendations for additional action by higher headquarters or other Army commands. Attach, as enclosures to this form, copies of correspondence, forms, and other data requiring additional action.
   (c) Identify those area(s) recommended for improvement by the investigating board that are beyond the resources available to the command.
   (d) Authenticate comments with signature, appropriate signature block, organization, and date at the close of each reviewing official’s remarks.
   (e) Higher command reviewing official(s) will indicate the official’s organization and enter the same information as (a) through (d) (above), as comment number 2, 3, and so forth.

(2) Block 2. Army Headquarters reviewing authority. Army Headquarters commanders or their designated representatives will provide written concurrence or nonconcurrence for each finding and recommendation made by the accident investigation board.
   (a) Indicate reasons for nonconcurrence. Also include any additional recommended actions.
   (b) The reviewing authority will make note of those areas recommended for improvement by the accident investigation board or subordinate reviewing officials on which action can or will be completed. If corrective action is beyond the purview or capability of the Army Headquarters reviewing authority, this will be stated.
   (c) Authenticate comments with signature, appropriate signature block, and organization at the close of remarks.

(3) Block 3. Reserved for USACRC and will be completed by the USACRC to show coordination/follow-up taken in response to recommendations requiring DA-level action.

(4) Block 4. Enter the date of the accident.